

CEBES Review Board Checklist

This checklist lists the major evaluation points for studies that have to be checked by the CEBES Review Board. A copy of this list should be handed in to the IBME office.

Reviewer:

Title of the study:

Envisaged study start: Envisaged study end:

Principal investigator (PI) of the study:

Contact details of PI (e-mail and phone):

Other involved persons (including functions):

.....

Date & Signature of Reviewer:

Step 1: Was the study object of a deeper investigation, whether it should be submitted to the Cantonal Ethics Committee or not?

Yes

No

If yes: Describe briefly why the study did not need mandatory authorization:

Step 2: Which issue(s) was (were) the reason that the study was submitted to the CEBES Review Board?

- Is it possible that study participants experience disadvantages through their behavior in the study or through non-participation?*
- Is the participation of minors or of persons that are non-judicious possible or planned?*
- Is it necessary that persons participate without knowing or without having signed a consent form in advance?*
- Are the participants deliberately deceived regarding the goals or the procedures of the study?*
- Does the study involve asking about personal experiences, sensitive information or political/moral opinions?*
- Is it possible that the study could have negative psychological effects for the participants?*
- Could the study have negative effects for the participants in the social domain?*
- Do the participants receive a financial incentive that is above the usual compensation?*
- Does the study involve data protection risks?*

Describe briefly the measures that have been taken such that critical issues have been mitigated:

Are the issues critical enough such that the study protocol needs a second evaluation through the CEBES Review Board?

Yes

No

If yes, describe briefly when the revised study protocol has been submitted and whether the critical issues have been successfully mitigated.